

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/637,457	08/08/2	2003	Kobi Eshun	Inno-022	Inno-022 3433	
7590 TIMOTHY P. O'HAGA		07/24/2007		EXAMINER		
8710 KILKEN				NGUYEN, QUYNH H		
FORT MILERS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2614		
•						
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				07/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/637,457	ESHUN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit				
	Quynh H. Nguyen	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Au	<u>igust 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,8,13 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3-6,9-12,14-18 and 20-24 is/are object	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	т.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	acont approacion				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 13, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Bodnar et al. (US 2004/0218045).

As to claims 1, 7, 13, and 19, Bodnar et al. teaches a system and method for provisioning of new user accounts that allow new users of cellular phone to use features and services of the device without activating a new user account in which vendor / manufacture of cellular phones provide user unique ID or phone number (see Abstract; [0017]), storing a provisioning ID data in the back-end database (paragraph [0092]); receiving the provisioning information from the ID module (paragraph [0092]).

Bodnar et al. does not specifically teach receiving an inquiry comprising the unique ID number assigned to the device that initiated from the device to the preprovisioning server at the pre-provisioning contact; and responding to the inquiry with a response that includes the provisioning contact that was stored in associate with the unique device ID number of the device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the above-mentioned features into the teachings of

Art Unit: 2614

Bodnar in order to have a more efficient system by assigning the unique ID number to the device when the device is purchased by a customer.

As to claims 2 and 8, Bodnar et al. teaches the step of responding to the inquiry are performed over a hyper text transport protocol link initiated by the device to the pre-provision server (paragraph [0087] and [0088]).

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-6, 9-12, 14-18, and 20-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent 6,636,259) teaches automatically configuring a webenabled digital camera to access the Internet.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,457

Art Unit: 2614

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qhn

Quynh H. Nguyen Quynh H. Nguyen July 18, 2007